

MHHS Design Advisory Group Headline Report

Issue date: 10 March 2022

Meeting Number **DAG006**

Venue **Virtual**

Meeting Date and Time **9 March 2022 1000-1300**

Classification **Public**

Actions

Area	Action Ref	Action	Owner	Due Date	Update
Governance Group Updates	DAG06-01	Review alignment between related MPAN modifications and design subgroup	Programme (Ian Smith)	13/04/22	
	DAG06-02	Publish draft Change Control Process to DAG members	PMO	10/03/22	UPDATE: Draft Change Control Process can be viewed within the Governance Framework v2.4 document published with the PSG 02 March 2022 meeting papers. Available here .
DAG ToR Updates	DAG06-03	Consider updating DAG ToR to explain approach to deviation from design principles where necessary (e.g. conflicting principles or other agreed deviations) and consider how the rationale behind such decisions would be documented (e.g. within log appended to ToR, or other method)	Programme (Ian Smith)	13/04/22	
Level playing field design principle	DAG06-04	Review SEC MP162 to ensure alignment with MHHS design	Programme (Ian Smith)	13/04/22	
	DAG06-05	Programme to consider whether attendance at SEC MP162 working group is required	Programme (Ian Smith)	13/04/22	

	DAG06-06	Programme to check if response provided to second consultation on SEC MP162 and provide update to DAG	Programme (Ian Smith)	13/04/22	
Design Principles	DAG06-07	Circulate updated design principles and include updated level playing field	Programme (Ian Smith)	13/04/22	
	DAG06-08	Draft customer principle and return to group with update	Craig Handford	13/04/22	
	DAG06-09	Update Design Principle 8 to reference all relevant industry codes	Programme (Ian Smith)	13/04/22	
Integrations Platform Decisions	DAG06-10	Provide cost implications of Option 1 relating to primary and secondary requirements within the technical addressing options, provide to PMO	DAG members	13/04/22	
	DAG06-11	Produce rough order of magnitude (ROM) comparison for Options 1, 2 and 3 of the primary and secondary requirements within the technical addressing options for consideration by DAG	Programme (Ian Smith)	13/04/22	
Level 4 Working Group Updates	DAG06-12	Review the design artefact subtotals and update where necessary	Programme (Ian Smith)	13/04/22	

Decisions

Area	Dec Ref	Decision
Minutes and Actions	DAG-DEC12	Minutes of DAG meeting held 09 February 2022 approved
Integrations Platform Decisions	DAG-DEC13	Decision in principle to proceed with Option 2, subject to ROMs on Options.

RAID items discussed/raised

RAID area	Description
None	None

Key Discussion items

Area	Discussion
DAG ToR	The group reviewed the latest iteration of the DAG ToR and requested inclusion of a clause to explain potential deviation from design principles, where this is warranted (DAG06-03).
Governance Group Updates	DAG were advised that a programme Change Request(s) (CR) will be raised to change the date of the M5 milestone relating to the publication of the detailed design baseline. All programme parties will be made aware of the proposed change and an impact assessment conducted. Similarly, the Cross Code Advisory Group (CCAG) is also seeking to raise a CR to change the date of the M6 milestone relating to the delivery of code drafting to Ofgem, and programme parties will be made aware of this also and invited to comment as part of impact assessment.
Level playing field design principle	Concerns were raised about how the Programme ensures that SEC-MP-162 is aligned with MHHS. The Programme took an actions (DAG06-04, DAG06-05, DAG06-06) to review MHHS alignment and inputs into SEC-MP-162 working groups as well as checking if the Programme had responded to the second consultation on SEC-MP-162. It was noted that the Programme is comfortable with the principle itself and it's a matter of fleshing out the implementation. There is further discussion on SEC-MP-162 at the extraordinary DAG meeting on 17 th March.
Design Principles	It was raised that customers are not mentioned in the design principles and that customer experience should be a part of the consideration of the design. An action (DAG06-08) was taken to draft a customer principle and return to DAG with an update. The issue of consequential code changes was raised, and the Programme confirmed that the Consequential Change Implementation Advisory Group (CCIAG) would be mobilised in future for such discussions. It was suggested that including reference to consequential change in the design principles would be ideal, though the specific wording would require further consideration.
Integrations Platform Decision	The Programme put forward Option 2 as the preferred Option on the basis of the volume of requests that would be dealt with as part of MHHS, a preference to centralise the complexity of the build, and to create a central single source of truth. Generally, Option 2 was favoured, however it was noted that without an indication of the cost implications of each of these Options, it was not possible to reach a decision. The Programme cautioned DAG against placing too much emphasis on the central cost of these Options as the least expensive Option may increase costs of implementation of programme participants and therefore result in a higher overall industry cost. Aside from cost, a point was raised regarding the importance of reliable data and Option 2 would be the preferred Option on that basis. An action (DAG06-11) was taken for DAG members to provide an estimation of costs associated with Option 1 relating to primary and secondary addressing requirements, and an action (DAG06-12) was taken for the Programme to produce a rough order of magnitude comparison of costs for Options 1, 2 & 3.
Level 4 Working Group Updates	The review process for Artefacts produced by the L4 working groups was clarified by the Programme. These go through a two week review with BPRWG before a two week internal review, when they will then go out for a general review. Feedback is incorporated at all stages, identifying any feedback requiring further discussion prior to making updates or releasing documents for a second review. Concern was raised regarding the number of artefacts coming up for review in April, given that this coincides with Easter and so availability and capacity of DAG members may be limited. This was noted by the Programme and it was suggested that it was likely some of these artefacts were likely to be pushed back.

Next Meeting – Extraordinary DAG 17 March 2022 (Level Playing Field Principle)